#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 456 484 CS 510.633

AUTHOR Peterson, Keith; Clower, Ramona

TITLE Eliminating Borders through Debate Education.

PUB DATE 2001-10-05

NOTE 12p.; Paper presented at the American Communication

Association Convention (Boone, NC, October 5-6, 2001).

PUB TYPE Opinion Papers (120) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS \*Debate; \*Educational Benefits; \*Global Approach; Higher

Education; Skill Development; Student Needs; \*Travel

IDENTIFIERS Arkansas State University; \*Competitive Argument

#### **ABSTRACT**

As the world moves into the millennium, students will need certain skills to be successful in a global environment. This paper argues that competitive international exposure through debate can provide these skills. The paper consists of three sections. The first section briefly reviews current literature identifying the academic and intercultural benefits provided by a well rounded debate education. The second section provides narrative documentation of the international travel done by the Arkansas State University Speech and Debate Team along with the benefits gained as a result of its travel. The third section presents a list of international events available to anyone wishing to take advantage of the gains provided by international debate exposure. Contending that the time has come for people to begin eliminating borders through effective education, the paper focuses on competitive debate as a "wonderful place to start."

(Contains 11 references.) (NKA)



# **Eliminating Borders Through Debate Education**

by Keith Peterson Arkansas State University

&

Ramona Clower Arkansas State University

Presented
October 5, 2001
American Communication Association Convention
Boone, North Carolina

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made lo improve reproduction quality.

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy. PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

K. Peterson

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)



#### Introduction

"I have been impressed that our international debate experiences have given our students new insights into the cultures, issues and thought processes of people of other nationalities. These encounters have been positive additions to our domestic academic competitions, and have added a dimension not replicated at home. I am hopeful that these contacts abroad may teach our students to value differences, to accept that there are other valid points of view, and that, by the discussions spawned by honest debate, we just may avoid the horror of conflicts we have recently seen."

- Dr. Leslie Wyatt Chancellor, Arkansas State University

When first approached about constructing the following composition I was very excited. Not often does a forensics professional gain the opportunity to provide the academic community with a formal chronicling of the events that have brought their program so much success. But amidst my feelings of pride and delight I began to realize that this work could be so much more than just a series of personal narratives. Such an undertaking could be an initial step toward illustrating the critical importance of a well-rounded high exposure debate education.

As we move forward into the millennium students of the human race will need certain skills to be successful in a global environment. It is my belief that competitive international exposure through debate can provide this. To support this claim I have broken this paper down into three sections. The first section will briefly review current literature identifying the academic and intercultural benefits provided by a well-rounded debate education. Section two will provide narrative documentation of the international travel done by the Arkansas State University Speech and Debate team along with the benefits gained as a result of its travel. Finally, I will provide a list of international



events available to anyone wishing to take advantage of the gains provided by international debate exposure.

Our modern society has made it increasingly necessary to ensure multi-cultural throughout our businesses and our daily lives. Now has come the time for us to begin eliminating borders through effective education and competitive debate is a wonderful place to start.

### **Review of Literature**

Many in the academic community have consistently misrepresented debate education. Not true are the implications that through debate the only skills young people learn are talking quickly and bloodthirstiness for winning. Rather, competitive debate provides students with the skills necessary to compete in the new global community. The following review will illustrate the effectiveness of a debate education by identifying the utility of debate education, applying these concepts to the real world, and using them to adapt multi-culturally.

Without a doubt debate education can play a very useful role in the life of any educated person. The history of academic debate forums dates as far back as the Greek the philosophers and is considered by some to be crucial to the creation of the modern day liberal arts (Bartanen 1999 &Frank 1997). At a basal level debate is an effort to teach informed advocacy (Frank 1997). But debate education does much more than just provide the world with a group of informed advocates. According to Perlich 1999, any forensic performer is taught to better their education through the uses of critical inquiry, emancipation, and societal change. When obtained these goals can afford students a great deal of success in life. Additionally the learned tools of rhetoric can help students



and professors bridge existing academic divides (Bartanen 1999). Specialized forms of debate such as Parliamentary debate allow for additional means of personal growth by allowing students to develop personalized oratorical styles through the use of logical constructs and audience observation (Williams 1996).

Possibly the most intriguing aspect of an education in competitive debate is the application of skills gained to the real world. Studies have shown that students who have participated in college forensics are more likely to be successful professionally (Stenger 1999). A possible reason for this is that students involved in competitive forensics have been conditioned to understand that understanding ones audience is imperative to personal success (Bartanen 1999 & Stenger 1999). But despite the reasoning education through oral communication and specifically argumentation has been identified as an essential element to many professions, including Public Relations, Broadcasting, and Journalism (Milsap 1998). Debate education also has real world application outside of the professional world. As critical members of society those with backgrounds in debate and rhetoric are seen to broad participators with personal emphases on civility, diversity, and the consideration of alternative views (Bartanen 1999).

Debate education reaches its apex of utility at a multi-cultural level. Debate educates students on a myriad of relevant social issues including human rights, economics, the environment and a host of other concerns that are the responsibility of all people (Goshner 1998). Further, debate teaches students to put "people" at the forefront of the arguments they make concerning relevant issues (Bartanen 1999). To this end debate establishes a need for students to understand the importance of the global community (Goshner 1998). Preston 1997, tells us that a multi-cultural society equals societal



confusion at many levels, and debate education creates an inclusion that lends itself to remedying this situation. Multi-cultural confusion will certainly be a stumbling block for those not prepared to deal with it. Debate and forensics is crucial in helping people adapt to the communication needs of tomorrows intercultural society (Preston 1997). Through the use of competitive debate specifically as it relates to rhetoric, students of the human race will have the tools necessary to gain an international moral consciousness (Frank 1997).

# **Narrative History**

In order for debate education to have any effect internationally one first must experience international debate exposure. According to Ruane 2001, the British were the first to venture onto the "international debate scene". In 1922, the first debate tour of the United States was initiated by a group of Oxford debaters. Since this time debate tours have become popular devices employed by universities in the United States and the United Kingdom. As a result U.S. and U.K. debaters have learned a great deal about differing cultures by utilizing the cultural strengths and identifying the cultural weakness observed during their debate exchanges (Ruane 2001).

Given this historical information it only seems fitting that my narrative recounting will begin in the United Kingdom, the country in which international debate touring finds its origin.

## The United Kingdom 1998-

In fall of 1997 the wheels were put into motion for the creation of United Kingdom

Debate Tour. This tour originated with Dr. Jack Rogers who at the time was the Director



of Forensics at the University of Texas Tyler. Once it was determined that Dr. Rogers could successfully put together this tour the Arkansas State University Speech and Debate Team was immediately asked to join the tour. With much excitement and resolve, ASU quickly accepted this invitation.

For both schools (as well as the Louisiana State University-Shreveport who was also on the tour) this was a seminal venture into the world of competitive international debate, and the benefits that would follow exceeded expectation. The tour consisted of a 12-day comprehensive itinerary that found the ASU and fellow U.S. debaters competing against the most traditionally strong debate universities in the United Kingdom. As a result of detailed advanced planning the U.S. contingency was allowed to experience debate competitions as Oxford, Cambridge, St. Andrews, and Glasgow.

Due to the comprehensive nature of the tour the United States students experienced a number of positive returns. First and foremost for students and coaches alike this was an opportunity to experience a people and culture that they were previously unfamiliar with. This kind of exposure is invaluable in a multi-cultural society. Secondarily, the U.S. debaters were given the opportunity to take what they had previously learned about argumentation and debate and combine that with the effective means or argumentation employed by the British debate students. In the end those present on this tour came home with a great deal of experience and exposure that many of their domestic peers did not have, giving them a competitive advantage State side.

In addition to the academic and competitive benefits gained from this venture the

Arkansas State squad gained an even bigger advantage from this tour. During their visit
to St. Andrews Scotland the ASU team met and befriended the English Speaking Union's



very own Marc Whitmore. Excited about the idea of education through international exchange, Marc decided to continue his education in the United States by seeking his Masters Degree at Arkansas State. During his stay Marc was not only a model student but also provided the ASU Speech and Debate Team with a fresh new coaching perspective. In addition to the new perspectives on education Marc provided ASU (as well as number of enduring international contacts), he also brought new opportunities to the students at ASU. With Marc's influence and tutorial, Arkansas State undergraduate Shane Puckett secured an overseas internship with the English Speaking Union. As a result Shane was allowed to spend a semester working in London for the ESU, an experience that he will carry with him throughout his career.

## The United Kingdom 1999-

Given the success of the previous year's tour the principles involved in the 1998

British Debate tour decided to continue you the experience through to the following year.

The 1999 tour found the University of Arkansas Monticello joining UTT and ASU in their travels across the Atlantic Ocean.

The 1999 tour was a 14-day trip that included stops at all the universities visited in 1998 and added stops in Aberdeen and Inverness.

This tour also contracted a number of positive gains for the universities involved.

Similar in nature to the 1998 tour, those involved gained an invaluable amount of experience competing on the international scene. Students were given the opportunity to develop continuing relationships with peers in both England and Scotland, and were able



to experience the importance of other cultures through debate as well as the friendships they developed.

Though there was no Marc Whitmore's returning with the U.S. crew as a result of this trip there were nonetheless important contacts made. Dr. Jack Rogers has since returned to the United Kingdom in the year 2000 and extended the "international experience" to a new group of U.S. debaters not present for the first two tours. Additionally, the Arkansas State University squad has developed contacts in the United Kingdom that have culminated in their expected return to Britain in December 2001.

## Budapest 2000-

Excited about the success of the British Debate tours, Michael Fisher, Keith Peterson, and Craig LaPointe, of Arkansas State University sought membership in the International Debate Education Association in the year 2000. Motivated by membership in such a prestigious international debate community the three ASU scholars constructed a research paper entitled; *Using the Concept of Ground to Stimulate Learner Empowerment in the Classroom*. The ASU group was honored to have their work accepted for presentation at the 2000 IDEA conference in Budapest Hungary.

The experience of the Budapest conference brought more to the Arkansas State

Speech and Debate team than anyone could have possibly imagined. Throughout the

course of the conference the ASU scholars were allowed to confer and socialize with

debate educators from around the world. Every region of the globe was represented in

Budapest and educators made academic presentations from all cultural walks of life. Not
only did the Americans present get to discuss and analyze alternate debate theory



proposed to them by their international colleagues, but they were also exposed to the problems and progress experienced by debate professionals world wide.

#### Conclusion

As previously mentioned my expectations of this piece of research go far beyond a chronicling of past events. Though the literature and experiences sighted in this work would seem to suggest a number of benefits that can be gained from international debate competition, this work would be incomplete without a "plan of action". For this reason I would like to conclude by providing the interested reader with a list of possible "international ventures" that are available to those with the interest and resources to pursue them.

### International Debate Schedule-

(Taken from the British Debate: Tournament Calendar 2001-02)

October-

19 October, Marquess of Bute (St. Andrews), Contact: Miranda Weigler

26 October, Lincoln's Inn IV, Contact: Jern-Fei Ng

November-

2-3 November, University College Dublin, Contact: Laura McGowan (Convenor)

2-3 November, Durham Union Society IV, Contact: Alanah Gleeson

3 November, UCL President's Cup, Contact: Ross Ford

9-10 November, Oxford Union Society IV, Contact: Richard Osborne

16-17 November, Cambridge Union Society IV, Contact: Vikram Nair

23-24 November, Leeds University IV, Contact: Tessa Woolfson

30 Nov- 1 Dec, England & Whales Mace, Contact: Debbie Newman



### December-

7-8 December, UCC Philosoph IV, Contact: Fiona de Londras

27 Dec-3 Jan, Worlds Debate Championships, Contact: Michael Meeuwis (Convenor)

January-

18-19 January, Edinburgh IV, Contact: Jess Bicknell

25-26 January, Trinity College Dublin, Contact: Greg O Ceallaigh (Convenor)

February-

1-2 February, Bristol University, Contact: Sam Negus

15 February, Glasgow Ancients, Contact: Peter English

22 February, Inner Temple IV, Contact: Elliot Gold

March-

1-2 March, University of Limerick IV, Contact: Cait Ni Choclain

22-25 March, European Championships (Haifa, Israel), Contact: Uri Zakai

(Taken from IDEA Conference 2001)

October-

12-14 October, Debate and Argumentation: Opening Minds, Borders, and Societies.

Prague, Czech Republic. Email contact: sbatrak@sorosny.org



## References

- Bartanen, Michael, Frank, David. (1999). Comments from the community reclaiming a Heritage: A proposal for rhetorically grounded academic debate. The Journal Of the National Parliamentary Debate Association 6, 31-54.
- Frank, David. (1997). A new forensics for a new millennium. The Forensic 83, 4-16.
- Goshner, Debra (1998). Forensics on-line: Global resources on the internet for Competitors and coaches. The Forensic 83, 9-16.
- Hagy, Brent, Williams, David.(1996). Introducing parliamentary debate in the Argumentation and debate course. <u>The Forensic 82</u>, 16-20.
- Milsap, Susan. (1998). The benefits of forensics across the curriculum: An opportunity To expand the visibility of college forensics. The Forensic 84, 17-26.
- Perlich, John.(1999). The impact of intertexuality, textual layering, and performance Studies: Does the text have any integrity left? The Forensic 84, 1-12.
- Preston, Thomas.(1997). Forensics in the 21st century: Uniting to adapt to a diverse and Dynamic society. The Forensic 83, 17-36.
- Ruane, Christopher. (2001). College debate in the United Kingdom: What might U.S. Debate programs learn? The Forensic 86, 1-14.
- Stenger, Katherine (1999). Forensics as preparation for participation in the Academic World. The Forensic 84, 13-23.

British Debate: http://www.britishdebate.com/calendar01-02htm

IDEA Conference 2001: http://www.towson.edu/~broda/regformword.htm





#### U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



# Reproduction Release

(Specific Document)

CS 510 633

#### L DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: Eliminating Boarders Through Debate	
Author(s): Keith Peterson & Ramona Clower	
Corporate Source: Arkansas State University	Publication Date: 10-5-01

#### II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is ggranted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign in the indicated space following.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents			
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	S MATERIAL HAS   FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY,   DISSEMBATE THIS MATERIAL IN				
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)			
Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B			
<u>†</u>		<u>†</u>			
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g. electronic) and paper copy.					
Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.  If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.					
I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche, or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.					
Signature:	Printed Name/Position/Title: Keith Peterson Director of Forensics				
Organization/Address:	Telephone: 870-4	Telephone: 870-971-3091 Fax: 870-971-385%			
E-mail Address clocker (e) Date: 9-26-01					
hotmail.com					

## IIL DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:		
Address:		
Price:	<u> </u>	

## IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		<del></del>	
Name:	•		
Address:			

#### V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to: ERIC Clearinghouse on Reading, English, and Communication (ERIC/REC).

ERIC/REC Clearinghouse 2805 E 10th St Suite 140 Bloomington, IN 47408-2698 Telephone: 812-855-5847 Toll Free: 800-759-4723 FAX: 812-856-5512 e-mail: ericcs@indiana.edu WWW: http://eric.indiana.edu

EFF-088 (Rev. 9/97)

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC